

Taking your health to new heights

#### PRINCIPLES OF ULTRASOUND GUIDED MUSCULOSKELETAL INTERVENTIONS

Jonathan Finnoff, DO Tahoe Orthopedics and Sports Medicine Director of Sports Medicine, Barton Health South Lake Tahoe, CA

#### **Disclosures**

I have no financial disclosures



# USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Objectives

- Definition
- Why US-guidance?
- General Principles
  - Indications & contraindications
  - Equipment
  - •Set-up
  - •Technique
  - Pitfalls & Pearls
- Conclusion









#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS What Are We Talking About?

#### <u>Direct</u> Ultrasound Guidance

 Real time US visualization to guide the needle to the target area safely and efficiently

#### Targets

Joints & Bursa
Muscles
Tendon sheaths
Masses

Perineural





Muscle	Gieringer	Delagi	Trials	Hits	%	Endpoint	%	Cautions	%
Foot muscles									
Abductor digiti minimi	E	1	10	8	80	7	70	0	
Abductor hallucis	F	L.	10	9	90	7	70	4	40
First dorsal interosseous pedis	F	L	7	5	71	5	71	2	20
Leg muscles						0	1 C C	*	23
Tibialis anterior	F	P	10	9	90	9	90	1	10
Extensor digitorum longus	F	P	10	6	60	5	50	3	30
Extensor ballucis longue	F	P	10	D	00	7	70	3	30
Peroneus longus	F	Р	10	Б	50	5	50	1	10
Peroneus brevis	F	0	2	0	0	õ	0	ò	0
Peroneus tertius	F	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	50
Soleus	L	P	10	6	60	5	50	3	30
Lateral gastrocnemius	F	L	10	7	70	3	30	1	10
Modial gastroonomius	F	-	10	0	00	3	30	1	10
Tibialis posterior	F	Р	10	1	10	1	10	5	50
Tibialis posterior (lateral)	*	Р	8	4	50	4	50	1	13
Flexor hallucis longus	F	0	4	1	25	1	25	2	50
Flexor digitorum longus	F/P	D	2	2	100	2	100	0	0
Thigh muscles	20.004		1.00	-765	100000	10000	0.000		
Adductor longus	F	P	10	2	20	1	10	2	20
Adductor magnus	Р	P	10	3	30	3	30	2	20
Gracilis	Р	P	10	4	40	1	10	2	20
process remona rong ricau	100	F	10	1	70	5	50	1	10
Biceps femoris short head	F	L	10	3	30	1	10	2	20
Medial hamstrings		P	4	3	75	0	0	1	25
Semimembranosus	L	+	6	4	67	4	67	2	33
Semitendinosus	Р	1	3	1	33	1	33	0	0
lliopsoas	F	Р	7	6	86	6	86	3	43
Rectus femoris	Р	Р	7	3	43	3	43	0	0
Vastus lateralis	r	P	10	7	70	5	50	2	20
Vastus medialis	F	P	10	10	100	10	100	0	0
Popliteus	L	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
lip muscles	110-007		0104						
Gluteus maximus	Р	P	10	8	80	8	80	1	10
Gluteus medius	M	Р	8	4	50	4	50	0	0
Gluteus minimus	P	0	4	2	50	0	0	0	0
Tensor fascia lata	P	0	3	3	100	3	100	0	0
Obturator internus	Р	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Piriformis	Р	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quadratus femoris	Р	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summary			263	150	57	119	45	46	17

Table 1: Technique Differences and Accuracy of Needle Placement in Lower-Limb Muscles



(Haig et al. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003)

Study: Lower Limb Muscles								
Muscle	Method	Attempts	Hits	Adjusted	Same Nerve	Cautions	Dangers	Structure Pierced
First dorsal interosseus	B/N	34	31	31	32	0	0	
Abductor digiti minimi	В	16	11	13	15	0	0	
Abductor hallucis	В	16	12	12	14	0	0	
Adductor longus	В	16	3	6	13	2	0	
Adductor magnus	В	16	7	7	9	1	0	
Biceps femoris longus	В	14	5	7	7	0	0	
Biceps femoris short	В	16	4	5	5	3	0	
Extensor digitorum longus	В	16	10	10	14	1	0	
Extensor hallucis longus	в	14	11	12	12	1	0	
Peroneus long	В	13	9	9	9	0	1	
Gluteus maximus	B/N	20	13	17	13	0	0	
Gluteus medius	В	13	7	7	8	0	0	
Gracilis	В	16	2	6	8	1	0	
lliopsoas	B/N	19	12	12	12	1	2	Femoral nerve
Gastrocnemius lateral	B	16	5	13	13	0	2	Common peroneal nerve
Castroenemius medial	R	16	10	15	15	1	0	
Rectus femoris	в	12	5	8	11	0	0	
Medial hamstrings	В	22	14	14	15	0	0	
Soleus	B/N	28	22	24	27	3	1	Tibial nerve
Vastus lateralis	В	16	10	14	16	0	0	
Vastus medialis	В	16	16	16	16	0	0	
Tibialis posterior	В	27	11	12	24	5	2	Post tibial a., tibial n.
Tibialis anterior	В	13	10	11	11	1	0	



(Goodmurphy et al. J Clin Neurophysiol 2007)

Study: Unper Limb Muscles								
Muscle	Method	Attempts	Hits	Adjusted	Same Nerve	Cautions	Dangers	Structures Pierced
Bicens brachii loneus	В	12	8	9	11	0	1	Musculocutaneous n
Brachialis	B/N	20	14	14	20	1	0	
Anterior deltoid	B/N	19	11	12	17	0	0	
Middle deltoid	В	14	11	12	14	1	0	
Posterior deltoid	В	20	19	19	20	0	0	
Latiecimus dorei	B	14	10	14	12	0	0	
Pectoral major	В	13	4	12	7	0	0	
Major rhomb	в	14	5	7	9	0	0	
Serratus ant	в	20	11	12	12	0	0	
Supraspinatus	В	14	10	11	10	2	0	
Teres major	В	14	9	9	11	0	0	
Teres minor	В	14	4	4	4	0	0	
Infraspinatus	В	13	10	11	11	0	0	
Mid trapezius	В	13	2	11	5	0	0	
Latissimus head tricens	B	12	3	4	12	0	0	
Long head tricens	R	12	10	10	12	0	0	
Trapezius lower	В	14	7	12	6	0	0	
Infraspinatus	В	13	10	11	13	0	0	
Louotor coopula	D	11	6	6	9	0	0	
Rhomboid minor	в	13	3	9	6	0	0	
Abductor digit minimi	в	6	2	4	6	0	0	
Abductor pollicis brevis	B/N	13	2	2	13	0	0	
Abductor pollicis longus	В	11	3	4	5	0	0	
Adductor pollicis	В	6	6	6	6	0	0	
Anconeus	в	14	11	12	13	0	0	
Brachioradialis	В	14	4	10	14	3	0	
Extensor carpi ulnaris	в	14	5	11	12	0	0	
Extensor digitorum	В	14	5	13	14	0	0	
Extensor indicis	B/N	20	6	13	5	1	0	
Extensor pollicis longus	в	14	2	4	10	0	0	
Flexor carpi radialis	в	14	1	3	11	3	2	Median n.
Flexor carpi ulnaris	B/N	18	2	6	14	2	0	Ulnar n.
Flexor digit profundus	B/N	24	16	18	19	3	1	Ulnar n.
Flexor dig superficialis	В	10	2	8	3	0	1	Ulnar n.
Flexor pollicis longus	B	14	2	3	3	0	2	Radial a.
Opponens politicis	DAL	12	10	10	12	0	0	Median n.
Pronator quadratus	B	14	5	7	8	2	0	
Pronator teres	B/N	20	12	13	17	2	0	



(Goodmurphy et al. J Clin Neurophysiol 2007)

		Non-guided		<b>Ultrasound-guided</b>			
Muscle	No. of attempts	Correct placement	Percent accuracy	No. of attempts	Correct placement	Percent accuracy	
Rectus femoris	4	0	0	4	4	100	
Gracilis	4	3	75	4	4	100	
Short head biceps femoris	4	4	100	4	4	100	
Long head biceps femoris	4	1	25	4	4	100	
Semitendinosis	4	0	0	4	2	50	
Popliteus	4	0	0	4	4	100	
Tibialis anterior	4	4	100	4	4	100	
Extensor hallucis longus	4	0	0	4	4	100	
Peroneus longus	4	3	75	4	4	100	
Peroneus tertius	4	3	75	4	4	100	
Tibialis posterior	4	2	50	4	4	100	
Flexor hallucis longus	4	1	25	4	4	100	
Abductor hallucis	4	1	25	4	4	100	
First dorsal interosseous pedis	4	0	0	4	4	100	

(Boone et al. Muscle Nerve 2011,



<ul> <li><u>Accuracy</u></li> </ul>	<u>US</u>	Palpation	Fluoro
GH joint	95% <sup>1</sup>	<b>79-83%</b> <sup>1,4</sup>	
SA-SD bursa	100% <sup>1</sup>	63% <sup>1</sup>	60% <sup>2</sup>
AC joint	100% <sup>1,3</sup>	40-67% <sup>1,3,4</sup>	
Knee	<b>96-100%</b> <sup>1,5,6</sup>	<b>55-79%</b> <sup>1,5,6</sup>	
BT Sheath	100%7	66.6% <sup>7</sup>	
PIP & MCP jts	96% <sup>8</sup>	59% <sup>8</sup>	
Inf Arthritis Jt Injs	83% <sup>9</sup>	66% <sup>9</sup>	
GH Jt 1 <sup>st</sup> try	94% <sup>10</sup>		72% <sup>10</sup>

(<sup>1</sup>Daley EL AJSM 2011, <sup>2</sup>Mathews PV J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2005, <sup>3</sup>Peck E PMR 2010, <sup>4</sup>Partington PF J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1998, <sup>5</sup>Park YB J Clin Ultrasound 2011, <sup>6</sup>Curtiss HM PMR 2011 <sup>7</sup>Hashiuchi T J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2011, <sup>8</sup>Raza K Rheumatology 2003, <sup>9</sup>Cunnington J Arthritis & Rheumatism 2010, <sup>10</sup>Rutten MJ Eur Radiol 2009)

Barton

lealth

<ul> <li>Accuracy</li> </ul>	US	Palpation	<u>CT</u>
Per. Ten. Sheath <sup>1</sup>	100%	60%	
Piriformis <sup>2</sup>	95%	30%	
Pes Ans. Bursa <sup>3</sup>	92%	17%	
Lumbar facet jts <sup>4</sup>	100%		100%
STT jt⁵	100%	80%	
Flex. Ten. Sheath	<sup>6</sup> 70%	15%	
Tibiotalar jt <sup>7</sup>	100%	85%	
Sinus Tarsi <sup>7</sup>	90%	35%	

(<sup>1</sup>Muir JJ Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2011, <sup>2</sup>Finnoff JT J Ultrasound Med 2008, <sup>3</sup>Finnoff JT PMR 2010, <sup>4</sup>Galiano K Reg Anesth Pain Med 2007, <sup>5</sup>Smith J J Ultrasound Med 2011, <sup>6</sup>Lee DH J Ultrasound Med 2011, <sup>7</sup>Wisniewski SJ PMR 2010)



- Mathews et al. evaluated the accuracy of anterolateral and posterior palpation-guided SA-SD bursa injection approaches.
- Used fluoro followed by dissection to confirm injectate location
  - Fluoro suggested accuracy rate of 90% for anterolateral approach, but dissection revealed only 60% were actually accurate
  - Take home point = fluoro couldn't accurately determine whether the injectate was or was not in the SA-SD bursa

(Mathews et al. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2005)



<ul> <li>Efficacy</li> </ul>	<u>US-guided</u>	Palpation-guided
SA-SD Bursa <sup>1</sup>	VAS↓4	VAS $\downarrow$ 2
	Greater ↑ ROM	
SA-SD Bursa <sup>2</sup>	VAS ↓ 34.9	VAS ↓ 7.1
	SFA ↑ 15	SFA ↑ 5.6
SA-SD/GH Jt <sup>3</sup>	4 x greater benefit t	han palp guided
SA-SD Bursa <sup>4</sup>	NRS 1.6	NRS 3.3
	Good resp 81%	54%
SA-SD Bursa <sup>5</sup>	Signif ↑ abd ROM	No $\Delta$ in ROM

(<sup>1</sup>Ucuncu F Clin J Pain 2009, <sup>2</sup>Naredo E J Rheumatol 2004, <sup>3</sup>Eustace JA Ann Rheum Dis 1997, <sup>4</sup>Zufferey P J Bone Spine 2011,<sup>5</sup>Chen MJL Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2006)



# **USG MSK INTERVENTIONS**

Efficacy Knee

#### Carpal Tunnel<sup>3</sup>

NR Arth Rheum [S] 2010)

**US-guided vsPalpation-guided** 48% less procedural pain<sup>1,2</sup> 42% more pain reduction<sup>1</sup> 183% more fluid aspirated<sup>2</sup> 107% more responders<sup>1</sup> 52% less non-responders<sup>1</sup> 77.1% less procedural pain 63.3% more pain reduction 84.6% more responders 51.6% less non-responders 71% longer pain relief (<sup>1</sup>Sibbitt WL J Clin Rheumatol 2011, <sup>2</sup>Sibbit WL Scand J Rheumatol 2011, <sup>3</sup>Chavez-Chiang



EfficacyUS-guided vsPalpation-guidedInfl. Arthritis Inj181% less injection pain<br/>35% more pain reduction<br/>38% more responders<br/>34% less non-responders<br/>32% longer pain reliefInfl. Arthritis Inj250% greater pain relief

(<sup>1</sup>Sibbitt WL J Rheumatol 2011, <sup>2</sup>Cunnington J Arthritis & Rheumatism 2010)



Cost Effectiveness Knee<sup>1</sup>

Carpal Tunnel<sup>2</sup>

Infl. Arthritis Inj<sup>3</sup>

US-guided vs Palpation-guided 13% less cost/pt/yr 58% less cost/responder/yr 20.8% less cost/pt/yr 59.3% less cost/responder/yr 8% less cost/pt/yr 33% less cost/responder/yr

(<sup>1</sup>Sibbitt WL J Clin Rheumatol 2011, <sup>2</sup>Chavez-Chiang NR Arth Rheum [S] 2010, <sup>3</sup>Sibbitt WL J Rheumatol 2011)



- More infections with US-guidance?
- NO!
- Study compared 402 pts who received IV's with USguidance with 402 with palpation-guidance
  - Palpation infections = 3 = 7.8/1000
  - US-guidance infections = 2 = 5.2/1000

#### (Adhikari S J Ultrasound Med 2010)



# **USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Indications For USG**

#### 1.

- When accuracy is importanta) Tibialis posteriorb) Short head of biceps femoris
  - Rhomboids

#### Procedures that normally require guidance 2.

- a) b)

- C d
- Deep target Difficult to identify target Avoid adjacent structures Diagnostic injections Certain therapeutic injections

#### Assess anatomy 3.

- Pathology Variations a) b)
- Soft tissue procedures 4. 5.
- High risk
  - a) b)
  - Lung Neurovascular
  - Anti-coagulation/bleeding d/o
- Avoid radiation 6.



11:26:14AM TIS0.5 2D 45% C 60 P Mec V





#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Contraindications

- General procedural contraindications
- US generally safe
- Recognize limits
   Skills
   Equipment
   Technique
   Unexpected

Masses



# "r/o Baker's Cyst" V



# **USG MSK INTERVENTIONS**

- Ergonomics •Patient lying •Get comfortable Choose transducer Linear Array Superficial structures Needle angle not steep
  - Curvilinear Array

    - Deep structures Steep needle angle







## USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Plan Procedure











Schuenke Thieme 2007

#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS General Procedure – Set-up

#### Aseptic technique

Prep skin
Sterile probe cover
Sterile US gel

#### Ergonomics

See injection site, needle & machine

# Free-hand technique Non-dominant holds

transducerDominant holds needle







## USG MSK INTERVENTIONS In Plane Approach

• Also called:

Long axisLongitudinal

- Needle co-linear with transducer
- Visualize tip & shaft
- Preferred







#### **USG MSK INTERVENTIONS In Plane Approach - Pitfalls**

Visualization depends on obliquity

V



Barton Health

V

## USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Out of Plane Approach

- Also called:
   Short axis
   Transverse
- Needle perpendicular to transducer
- Appears as dot
- Challenging use prn
   Superficial joints







#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Out of Plane Approach - Pitfalls







# Tip Under Tx Tip Past Tx Tip Oblique & All Look Same Past Tx



22 G SHORT AXIS

#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Out of Plane Approach - Solution

- Move tip in & out of field
- Walk-down advancement









#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Procedure – Entry Site



- Deeper target requires entry site farther away from transducer due to effect if obliquity on needle visualization
- Ensure adequate needle length



#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Procedure – Technique

- Cold Spray
- Penetrate skin 1 cm
- Find needle
- Advance real-time
- Local anesthesia
   Test trajectory
   Hydrodissection







#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Procedure – Pitfalls & Pearls

 Anchor transducer!!!
 Can't see tip → don't advance
 Don't move needle & transducer at the same time
 Know when to withdrawal and redirect





Strive for parallel







- If can't get parallel, try:
  - Heel-toe







V



Or:
 Oblique stand-off



Lift one end of Tx
 Anchor other
 Fill gap with gel



# USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Oblique Stand-off: OOP -> IP



Needle choice
 Length
 Size (gauge) matters
 but not that much
 Echogenic









Having difficulty finding your needle tip? Jiggle •Rotate bevel •Stylet





V

V

# USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Once You are in the Target

- Take a picture with the needle in the target
- Aspirate
- Inject under direct US visualization
- Re-scan area to ensure correct location of injectate
- Consider picture to document location of injectate





#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Conclusions

- US is a powerful tool for guided interventions in the MSK system
- More accurate and likely more efficacious and cost effective than palpation guided injections
- Visualization of the needle is crucial
   In plane approach with minimal obliquity is the goal





#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS Conclusions

Planning for safe and efficient procedures requires:
Appreciation of basic US physics
Choosing right equipment for the job
Knowledge of anatomy
Skills to find, track, & advance needle
Recognizing limits
This is harder than it looks
Practice is key!!!



# Thank you





#### USG MSK INTERVENTIONS References

- Bianchi S, Martinoli C. Ultrasound of the Musculoskeletal System. Springer, New York, pp. 889-918, 2007.
- McNally E. Practical Musculoskeletal Ultrasound. Elsevier, Philadelphia, pp. 283-308, 2005.
- Anatomic images were obtained from: Schuenke et al. THIEME Atlas of Anatomy – General Anatomy and Musculoskeletal System. All rights reserved. THIEME 2007, www.thieme.com

